


GREX 2004  
NICE 27-29 OCT 04

PHÉNOMÉNOLOGIE  
DE LA  
THÉORIE DES CORDES:  
SIGNAUX GRAVITATIONNELS POSSIBLES

Thibault Damour  
IHES

# STRING-INSPIRED PHENOMENOLOGY

- NO CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF HOW TO FIT OUR WORLD WITHIN STRING THEORY  
 $\Rightarrow$  DISCUSS (PHENOMENOLOGICAL) POSSIBILITIES ; OPEN NEW EXPERIMENTAL OPPORTUNITIES



## LOW-ENERGY IMPRINTS OF $\ell_s$ ( $\ell_P, \ell_F$ ) PHYSICS

Braut... Jacobson... Brandenberger... B. Greene... Starobinsky... Danielsson...  
Kaloper... Burgess... Shenker... Schalm... Porrati... Pullin... Smolin...  
Ruegg... Ellis... Nanopoulos... Amelino-Camelia... Urrestia... Gambini...

### VARIOUS PHENOMENOLOGICAL POSSIBILITIES

MODIFIED DISPERSION LAWS:  $E^2 = m^2 + p^2 + \beta \ell_* E^3 + \dots$

BIREFRINGENCE OF VACUUM  $\omega_{\pm} = |k| (1 \pm \beta \ell_* |k| + \dots)$

VIOLATION OF LORENTZ INVARIANCE ?

DEFORMATION OF LORENTZ INVARIANCE

PARTICLE CREATION BY EXPANSION

QUANTUM DECOHERENCE: PURE STATES  $\rightarrow$  MIXED STATES ?

### VARIOUS OBSERVATIONAL WINDOWS

MODIFICATION OF CMB's  $C_\ell$  (EXPANSION = MICROSCOPE !)

GREISEN-ZATSEPIN-KUZMIN (GZK) THRESHOLD

ULTRA HIGH-ENERGY COSMIC RAYS

$\gamma$ -RAY BACKGROUND

TIMING OF  $\gamma$ -RAY BURSTS OR  $\nu$ 'S

SYNCHROTRON RADIATION FROM CRAB NEBULA

ROUGH CONCLUSION  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \text{ IF EFFECTS } \propto \ell_*^1 = \frac{1}{M_*^2} \quad \exists \text{ THEORETICAL DIFFICULTIES} \\ \quad \exists \text{ SEVERE CONSTRAINTS FROM PRESENT DATA} \\ \bullet \text{ IF, MORE CONVENTIONALLY, } \propto \ell_*^2 = \frac{1}{M_*^4} : \text{ TOO SMALL TO BE OBSERVED} \end{array} \right.$

### + OTHER POSSIBLE SIGNALS IN RELATIVISTIC ASTROPHYSICS

USUAL EXTREMAL LIMIT FOR BLACK HOLES:

$$\text{in } D=4 \quad G M^2 \leq \frac{J^2}{GM^2} + Q^2 \quad \text{CAN BE LINKED TO BPS COND.}$$


NOT LINKED TO BPS; MODIFIED IN  $D > 4$  (Myers....)

$\exists$  ? "SUPER SPINARS" ? (Horava, Gimon)

based on supertubes ( $\frac{\text{Emparan}}{\text{Majlis}} \frac{\text{Townsend}}{\dots}$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ? STABILITY ?

# VARIOUS COSMOLOGICAL SCENARIOS

- STANDARD COSMOLOGICAL
- "SCENARIO" TO EXPLAIN WHY UNIVERSE SO LARGE, SO HOMOGENEOUS, +  $\frac{\delta P}{P} \sim 5 \times 10^{-5}$



- STRING THEORY CHALLENGES

- FIND A NATURAL CANDIDATE FOR THE INFLATON FIELD  $\phi$

E.G. DILATON (Veneziano, Gasperini ...)

SEPARATION OF D-BRANES (Dvali, Tye, Burgess... Quevedo; KKLT, KKLMT, ...)

- GET GR. WITHOUT DILATON MODULI EFFECTS WHICH "KILL" INFLATION BY INTRODUCING "STEEP" DIRECTIONS IN  $V(\phi, \dot{\phi}, \dots)$

E.G. WARPED FLUX COMPACTIFICATIONS (Giddings, Kachru, Polchinski, Kachru et al.)

- ARRANGE EXISTENCE OF SLOW-ROLL REGIONS OF  $V(\phi)$

E.G. LARGE BRANE SEPARATION:  
 (Dvali, Tye, ...; KKLT) 
  
 OR HIGH-DERIVATIVE TERMS  $\sim -g(\phi) \sqrt{1 + f(\phi) g''} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - V(\phi)$  (Silverstein, Tong)  
 à la k-inflation (Armendariz-Picon, Damour, Mukhanov; Garriga, Mukhanov)

- TUNE-IN SOME SMALL PARAMETER ( $\lambda \sim 10^{-13}$ ) TO ARRANGE  $\frac{\delta P}{P} \sim 5 \times 10^{-5}$
- INCORPORATE STANDARD MODEL, AND ARRANGE FOR REHEATING
- ? ARRANGE INITIAL CONDITIONS, OR USE "ANTHROPIC-LIKE" ARGUMENTS

## VARIOUS COSMOLOGICAL SIGNALS

- TILT OF POWER SPECTRUM

LARGE / SMALL  
POSITIVE / NEGATIVE

$$\frac{d n_s}{d \ln k}$$

- NON GAUSSIANITY E.G. DBI  $\Rightarrow$  LOWER BOUND ON NON-GAUSSIANITY  
(Alishahiha, Silverstein, Tong)

OTHER MODELS  $\Rightarrow$  NEGIGIBLE NON-GAUSSIANITY

- TENSOR COMPONENT E.G. DBI  $\Rightarrow$  LARGE, OBSERVABLE TENSOR COMPONENT OF CMB SPECTRUM

- COSMIC SUPER-STRINGS (Copeland, Myers, Polchinski;  
FORMED FROM BRAUN-BRAUN Dvali, Vilenkin, ...  
ANNIHILATION (COMPLEX TACHYON))

↳ GRAVITATIONAL WAVE BURSTS (Damour, Vilenkin)

# GRAVITATIONAL WAVE BURSTS FROM MASSIVE STRINGS

A25

(Damour, Vilenkin '00)





FIG. 1. Gravitational wave amplitude of bursts emitted by cosmic string cusps (upper curves) and kinks (lower curve) in the LIGO/VIRGO frequency band, as a function of the parameter  $\alpha = 50 G \mu$  (in a base-10 log-log plot). The upper curve assumes that the average number of cusps per loop oscillation is  $c = 1$ . The middle curve assumes  $c = 0.1$ . The lower curve gives the kink signal (assuming only one kink per loop). The horizontal dashed lines indicate the one sigma noise levels (after optimal filtering) of LIGO 1 (initial detector) and LIGO 2 (advanced configuration). The short-dashed line indicates the "confusion" amplitude noise of the stochastic GW background.





FIG. 2. Gravitational wave amplitude of bursts emitted by cosmic string cusps (upper curves) and kinks (lower curve) in the LISA frequency band, as a function of the parameter  $\alpha = 50 G \mu$  (in a base-10 log-log plot). The meaning of the three solid curves is as in Fig. 1. The short-dashed slanted curve indicates the confusion noise. The lower long-dashed line indicates the one sigma noise level (after optimal filtering) of LISA.

# GRAVITATIONAL RADIATION FROM COSMIC SUPERSTRINGS

(Damour, Vilenkin '04)


## STANDARD FIELD-THEORY STRINGS

- RECONNECTION PROBABILITY



$$\overset{\text{STANDARD}}{P} \simeq 1$$

- STANDARD ESTIMATE OF SIZE OF WIGGLES AND NEWLY FORMED LOOPS (Bennett, Bouchet (88))



$$\overset{\text{STANDARD}}{l} \sim 50 \text{ Gpc}$$


## COSMIC SUPERSTRINGS


REVISED ESTIMATE OF EFFECT  
OF RADIATION REACTION ON  
SPECTRUM OF WIGGLES


(Siemens, Olum, Vilenkin '02)


$$10^{-3} \lesssim p \lesssim 1$$


$$l \sim \epsilon \cdot 50 \text{ Gpc}$$
$$\epsilon \ll 1$$















# BRANES AND GRAVITY

"LARGE" BUT COMPACT EXTRA-DIMENSIONS

Antoniadis, Arkani-Hamed,  
Dimopoulos, Dvali




HIGHER-DIMENSIONAL GRAVITY WHEN

$$r < L_c$$

AND (if  $\ell_s \sim \text{TeV}$ )  
INTERESTING  
OBSERVABLE  
EFFECTS in LHC

INFINITE EXTRA-DIMENSIONS  
BUT "MISMATCHED" GRAVITY

Randall, Sundrum



MODIFICATION OF GRAVITY WHEN

$$r < \frac{\text{BULK CURVATURE RADIUS}}{c} = r_c$$

E.G. (Gruzinov)

$$U' = \frac{GM}{r} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{L} \sqrt{\frac{r^3 c^2}{GM}} \right]$$

AND  
SMALL  
MODIFICATIONS  
FOR  $r < L$

GRAVITY =  
SURFACE + BULK PROPAGATION


MODIFICATION OF GRAVITY WHEN

$$r > L \equiv \frac{G_5}{G_4}$$

BUT  
PROBLEMS  
WITH  
"PAULI-FIGER"-TYPE  
MASSIVE GRAVITY

MULTI-BRANES

Kogom, Mouslopoulos,  
Papazoglou, Ross, Santiago,  
Gregory, Rubakov, Sibiryakov



TUNNELLING  
(EVANESCENT WAVES)  
BETWEEN SEVERAL GRAVITON WAVES

MULTI-GRAVITY

MODIFICATION OF GRAVITY WHEN

$$r \lesssim r_c$$

$$r \gtrsim r_c e^{d/r_c}$$

INVERSE-SQUARE LAW TESTS

(Adelberger, Heckel, Nelson 103)

$$V(r) = -\frac{G m_1 m_2}{r} \left[ 1 + \alpha e^{-\frac{r}{\lambda}} \right]$$




Figure 5: 95%-confidence-level constraints on ISL-violating Yukawa interactions with  $1 \mu\text{m} < \lambda < 1 \text{ cm}$ . The heavy curves give experimental upper limits (the Lamoreaux constraint was computed in Reference (151)). Theoretical expectations for extra dimensions (56), moduli (101), dilaton (102), and radion (83) are shown as well.

992

## INTUITIVE MEANING OF $g_{\mu\nu}(x) + \Phi(x)$

	GEOMETRY	COUPLING CONSTANTS
NEWTON	RIGID	RIGID
EINSTEIN	SOFT	RIGID
STRING THEORY	SOFT	SOFT

EINSTEIN EQUIVALENCE PRINCIPLE

VIOLATION OF THE EQUIVALENCE PRINCIPLE

$$g \sim g \sim g \sim G$$

geometry      gravitation      gauge coupling constants      gravitational coupling constant

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) \sim g^2(x) \sim G(x)$$

$$g_s(x) = e^{\frac{\Phi(x)}{2}} \quad G(x) \propto g_s^2(x) = e^{2\frac{\Phi(x)}{2}} + \dots$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{EFF}} = e^{-2\frac{\Phi(x)}{2}} \left[ R(g) + 4(\nabla\Phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 - i\bar{\psi} D^\mu \psi - \dots \right]$$

$$+ \mathcal{O}((\alpha' \partial^2)^n) + \mathcal{O}(e^{+n\Phi})$$

(FRADKIN, TSEYTLIN '85), (CALLAN ET AL '85, ... )

# CONSISTENCY OF DILATON + MODULI $\underline{\Phi}(x)$ WITH PRESENT EXPERIMENTAL DATA ?

①  $V(\underline{\Phi}) \approx \frac{1}{2} m_{\underline{\Phi}}^2 (\underline{\Phi} - \underline{\Phi}_0)^2$

IN LOW-ENERGY WORLD  
⇒ ONLY SHORT-RANGE EFFECTS  $\propto e^{-m_{\underline{\Phi}} r}/r$

RECENT EXPERIMENTS

$$\text{Hoyle ... 2001} \quad \text{Chiaverini ... 2003} \Rightarrow \lambda_{\underline{\Phi}} = \frac{1}{m_{\underline{\Phi}}} \lesssim 0.1 \text{ mm} \Rightarrow m_{\underline{\Phi}} \gtrsim 10^{-3} \text{ eV}$$

Long ... 2003

Adderberger ... 2003

SOME MODELS NEED TO FIX  $\underline{\Phi}$  DURING INFLATION  $\Rightarrow m_{\underline{\Phi}} \gg H_{\text{INF}}$

Possibly  $V(\underline{\Phi}) \sim M_{\text{susy}}^4 V(\underline{\Phi}) \Rightarrow m_{\underline{\Phi}} \sim \frac{M_{\text{susy}}^2}{M_P} \frac{(1 \text{ TeV})^2}{2.4 \times 10^{18} \text{ GeV}} \sim 10^{-3} \text{ eV}$

(Taylor Veneziano, Ferrara..., Antoniadis...)  
ACCESIBLE TO "CAVENSLISH" EXPTS

②  $V(\underline{\Phi}) \approx 0; m_{\underline{\Phi}} = 0$ , BUT  $\exists$  NON-TRIVIAL COUPLING FUNCTIONS  $B_i(\underline{\Phi})$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{EFF}} = B_R(\underline{\Phi}) R(g) + B_{\underline{\Phi}}(\underline{\Phi}) (\nabla \underline{\Phi})^2 + B_F(\underline{\Phi}) F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \dots$$

$V_{\text{EFF}}(\underline{\Phi})$  IN PRESENCE OF MATTER

IF  $\exists \underline{\Phi}_m; \partial B_i(\underline{\Phi}_m)/\partial \underline{\Phi}_m = 0$  (Damour, Nordtvedt, Damour-Polyakov)

$\exists$  COSMOLOGICAL ATTRACTOR MECHANISM  $\underline{\Phi} \rightarrow \underline{\Phi}_m$

AND  $\underline{\Phi}$  NEARLY DECOUPLES FROM MATTER WHEN  $\underline{\Phi} \approx \underline{\Phi}_m$

$\Rightarrow$  NATURALLY SUPPRESSED MODIFICATIONS OF LONG-RANGE GRAVITY


③ BOTH A QUINTESSENCE-LIKE  $V(\underline{\Phi}) \neq 0$  AND COUPLING TO MATT.  $B_i(\underline{\Phi})$

$\Rightarrow m_{\underline{\Phi}}$  DEPENDS ON SURROUNDING MATTER DENSITY, SO THAT  $\underline{\Phi}$  IS SHORT-RANGED IN EARTH-BOUND EXPERIMENTS

(Khoury, Weltman, Brax....)

# DILATON RUN-AWAY SCENARIO

(Gasperini, Piazza, Veneziano '01, Damour, Piazza, Veneziano '02)



## OBSERVATIONAL CONSEQUENCES TODAY

EQUIVALENCE PRINCIPLE VIOLATION

$$\frac{\Delta a}{a} \sim 5 \times 10^{-4} \left( \frac{b_F}{b_F c} \right)^2 \left( \frac{8\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\frac{n}{n+2}} \sim (b_F/b_F c)^2 \times 10^{-12}$$

DENSITY FLUCTUATIONS  $\delta\rho/\rho \sim 5 \times 10^{-5}$

INFLATIONARY POTENTIAL  $V(\chi) = \lambda(\Phi) \chi^n$

IF  $n=2$  (WMAP favored)

VARIATION OF CONSTANTS  
 $\alpha_{EM} = \frac{e^2}{h c}, \dots$

COUPLING TO COSMOLOGICAL ENERGY DENSITY: DARK MATTER or DARK ENERGY

$$\frac{S\Omega_m \alpha_m + 4 S\Omega_V \alpha_V}{S\Omega_m + 2 S\Omega_V}$$

COUPLING TO ORDINARY MATTER  $\alpha_{had}$

# CONCLUSIONS

## 'BEYOND GENERAL RELATIVITY': THE NEW GRAVITY FRONTIER

- UP TO THE END OF THE 1980's, ONE CONSIDERED ONLY FEW (MATERIAL) MODIFICATIONS OF EINSTEIN'S GRAVITY: JORDAN-FIERZ-BRANS-DICKE
- RECENTLY, A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF THE RICH STRUCTURE OF STRING THEORY (DILATON, ..., BRANES, ..., LARGE DIMENSIONS, ..., WARPED COMPACTIFICATION) HAS MOTIVATED THE CONSIDERATION OF MANY NEW TYPES OF MODIFICATIONS OF GR
  - SHORT-RANGE MODIFICATIONS :  $< 0.1 \text{ mm}$
  - LONG-RANGE MODIFICATIONS
- IN ADDITION, RECENT OBSERVATIONAL DISCOVERIES SUGGEST THAT OUR CURRENT THEORETICAL GRAVITY FRAMEWORK MIGHT BE INCOMPLETE OVER LONG DISTANCES / TIMES
  - "DARK MATTER" IN GALAXIES, HALOES OF GALAXIES AND LSS
  - "ACCELERATED EXPANSION", AND "DARK ENERGY"
  - ? - PIONEER 10, 11 "ANOMALOUS" ACCELERATION?  
 $a \approx 9 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm/s}^2 \approx c H_0$ , BUT CANNOT BE UNIVERSAL (GP)  
? NO CONVINCING THEORETICAL MODEL
  - ? - SOME CLAIMS OF VARIATION OF CONSTANTS (Webb...  
 $\frac{\Delta(m_p/m_e)}{m_p/m_e} = (2.97 \pm 0.74) \times 10^{-5}$  over 12 Gyrs  
Petitjean et al..04
- IMPORTANT TO IMPROVE TESTS OF GR., AND TO LOOK FOR DEVIATIONS

## RECAP OF SOME POSSIBLE LONG-RANGE MODIFICATIONS OF GRAVITY

- BRANE-INDUCED + BULK GRAVITY (Dvali, Gabadadze, Porrati)
  - NO VIOLATION OF EQUIVALENCE PRINCIPLE
  - $\exists$  LENGTH SCALE  $L \equiv G_5 / G_4$
  - IF  $L \sim c t_0 \sim H_0^{-1}$  MIGHT EXPLAIN "ACCELERATED EXPANSION"  
BEST TESTABLE IN LUNAR RANGING? (Dvali, Zaldarriaga)
- RUN-AWAY DILATON  $g_{\mu\nu} +$  WEAKLY-COUPLED MASSLESS  $\varphi$ 
  - VIOLATIONS OF EQUIVALENCE PRINCIPLE:  $\frac{\Delta a}{a} \lesssim 10^{-12}$
  - CORRELATED EFFECTS EG  $\frac{\Delta a}{a} \sim 10^{-12}$ ;  $\gamma-1 \sim 10^{-7}$ ,  $\frac{\alpha'_{EM}}{x_{EM}} \sim 10^{-16} \text{ yr}^{-1}$
  - PREDICTIONS FOR COMPOSITION DEPENDENCE  $\left(\frac{\Delta a}{a}\right)_{AB} = 10^{-5}(1-\gamma) \left[ \frac{\Delta E}{M_{AB}} + g_A \frac{\Delta B}{M_{AB}} + g_B \frac{\Delta C}{M_{AB}} + g_C \frac{\Delta D}{M_{AB}} \right]$
- $g_{\mu\nu} + \varphi$  WITH BOTH  $V(\varphi)$  AND  $g_{\mu\nu}^A = B_A(\varphi) g_{\mu\nu}$ 
  - CAN INCORPORATE EP VIOLATIONS (Khoury, Weltman)
  - $\varphi$  DEPENDS ON SURROUNDING  $P \Rightarrow$  "CHAMELEON EFFECT"
  - POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANT MODIFICATIONS OF GRAVITY IN SPACE VS EARTH-BOUND EXPTS
- E.G.  $g_{\mu\nu} +$  MASSIVE  $B_{\mu\nu}$  (Einstein; Damour, Detter, McCarty; Moffat)
  - NECESSARILY INCORPORATES EP VIOLATION
  - CONTAINS MASSIVE VECTOR INTERACTIONS
  - POSSIBLY STRONG-FIELD DEVIATIONS

## COMPARISON OF POTENTIAL MEASUREMENTS OF $\alpha_{QCD}^2$

USUAL  
PPN PARAMETERS

$$\gamma_{Edd} - 1 = - \frac{2 \alpha_{QCD}^2}{1 + \alpha_{QCD}^2}$$

USING  
 $(\frac{\delta v}{v})_{\text{effective}} \sim 10^{-17}$

$$\beta_{Edd} - 1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta_{QCD} \alpha_{QCD}^2}{(1 + \alpha_{QCD}^2)^2}$$

COMPOSITION-INDEPENDENT  
PRESENT SOLAR-SYSTEM EXPTS

$$\alpha_{QCD}^2$$

COMPOSITION-DEPENDENT

$10^{-3}$

$$10^{-4}$$

OKLO

$$10^{-5}$$

GPB

$$10^{-6}$$

GROUND CLOCK (DIFFERENTIAL)

$$10^{-7}$$

GEOCENTRIC CLOCK (DIFFERENTIAL)

TIME DELAY FROM HELIOCENTRIC

CLOCKS (" $\gamma_{Edd}$ " MEASUREMENT)

PRESENT EP TESTS

LATOR

$$10^{-8}$$

SPACETIME

$$10^{-9}$$

HELIOCENTRIC CLOCK (DIFFERENTIAL)

$$10^{-10}$$

$\mu$ SCOPE

$$10^{-11}$$

$$10^{-12}$$

$$10^{-13}$$

$$10^{-14}$$

STEP